## Beyond Philology No. 20/4, 2023 ISSN 1732-1220, eISSN 2451-1498

https://doi.org/10.26881/bp.2023.4.02

# Polish *pieszy* and English *foot*: An analysis of a pair of cognates

#### ANNA POTRYKUS

Received 18.12.2023, accepted 27.12.2023.

#### Abstract

The research concentrates on investigating the etymological relationship between the Polish term *pieszy* 'pedestrian, on foot', and the English word *foot*, both tracing their origins back to a common Proto-Indo-European root \**ped*-. The objective of this study is to recognize and document the various morphological, phonological, and semantic changes that this shared ancestral word has experienced throughout its evolution. The study intends to prove that the Polish word *pieszy* and the English word *foot* represent cognates by demonstrating their shared elements and explaining changes that affected them.

## **Keywords**

Polish-English cognates, etymology, Proto-Indo-European, contrastive analysis

# Polski wyraz *pieszy* a angielski *foot*: Analiza pary wyrazów pokrewnych

#### **Abstrakt**

Artykuł ten koncentruje się na badaniu związku etymologicznego między polskim wyrazem *pieszy* i angielskim wyrazem *foot* 'stopa'. Oba te słowa wywodzą się od wspólnego praindoeuropejskiego rdzenia \**ped*-. Celem badania jest rozpoznanie i udokumentowanie szeregu zmian morfologicznych, fonologicznych i semantycznych jakim uległ wspólny obu wyrazom etymon na przestrzeni wieków. Badanie ma na celu udowodnienie, że polskie słowo *pieszy* i angielskie słowo *foot* są wyrazami pokrewnymi, poprzez wykazanie ich wspólnych elementów oraz wyjaśnienie zmian, które na nie wpłynęły.

#### Słowa kluczowe

polsko-angielskie wyrazy pokrewne, etymologia, praindoeuropejski, analiza kontrastywna

#### 1. Introduction

The paper focuses on exploring the etymological connection between the Polish word *pieszy* 'pedestrian, on foot', and the English word *foot*, which both originate from the same Proto-Indo-European word. The study aims to identify and list morphological, phonological, and semantic changes that this ancestral word has undergone over centuries. The paper's structure consists of seven stages, namely, (1) introduction, (2) methodology, (3) attestation, (4) morphological connection, (5) phonological connection, (6) semantic connection, and (7) conclusions. Section 1 introduces the topic. In section 2, the methodology of the research is presented. Section 3 focuses on the assessment of the time and scope of attestation. Section 4, section 5, and section 6 aim to explore word forms as well as changes in sounds

and meaning, respectively. In section 7, the findings are summarized.

## 2. Methodology

The research adopts the methodology of collecting Polish-English cognates proposed in Rychło (2019) and illustrated in several studies (Rychło 2012, 2013, 2016, 2018, 2021, Rychło and Witczak 2021). It consists of the following research stages: attestation, phonological connection, morphological connection, and semantic connection.

The first stage of the research involves assessing the time of attestation of the possible cognates under analysis as well as collecting and comparing cognates in languages that are closely related to each other in order to discover if the words at issue originate from the common ancestral language. The second and third stages of the research include comparing morphological and phonological structures between the words and explaining the differences that resulted from changes that have occurred over the centuries. The last stage involves analyzing the semantic connection between the cognates at issue. All these procedures aim to identify and analyze Polish-English cognates, while concentrating on the inherited elements (for more detail see Rychło 2019).

#### 3. Attestation

#### 3.1. Time

In his *Dictionary of Old Polish*, Urbańczyk (1988–1993: 118) includes the word *pieszy*, which has been attested since 1228. He mentions two senses of the word 'the one that goes on foot' and 'associated with walking on foot'. Regarding English, the word *foot* is recorded in *The Oxford Dictionary of English Etymology* (Onions 1966: 368) and the Oxford English Dictionary, and both sources provide information that it has been attested since Old English with the original meaning of '[t]he terminal part of the

leg, on which a person stands and walks' (the OED). Therefore, both words have been attested since the earliest periods of the languages, and their connection with modern forms is evident.

## 3.2. Scope

According to Piwowarczyk (2022: 232), the Proto-Indo-European root \*ped- 'to step, to fall' can be found in all 12 Indo-European branches of languages:

Iranian: Avestan  $p\bar{a}\delta$ - 'foot, leg',  $p\bar{a}\delta a$ - 'footstep, step', Old Persian  $p\bar{a}da$ - m. 'foot'; Khotanese pai 'foot',  $p\bar{a}$  'feet', Sogdian  $p'\delta$  [ $p\bar{a}\delta^a$ ] 'foot'; New Persian  $p\bar{a}$ ,  $p\bar{a}y$  'id.'; Balochi  $p\bar{a}d$  'id.'; Yidgha palo 'foot'; Wakhi  $p\bar{u}id$  'foot'; Shughni  $p\bar{o}\delta$  'foot',  $p\bar{o}\delta\bar{e}v$  'at the foot, below'; Bartangi and Oroshori  $p\bar{e}\delta$  'foot', Khufi  $pu\delta$  'id.'; Roshani  $pu\delta$  'foot',  $pu\delta\bar{v}$  'at the foot, below'; Sarikoli  $pe\delta$  'foot',  $pa\delta ef$  'at the foot, below'; Sanglechi  $p\bar{u}\delta$ , Ishkashimi pud, Yazghulami  $p\bar{e}\delta$ , Munjani  $p\bar{a}la$ , Yaghnobi  $p\bar{o}da$ , Ossetic fad 'foot, leg', fad 'trace' etc. (Abaev 1958: 414, 427; Bailey 1979: 227–228; Morgenstierne 1974: 54).

Slavic: Russian *péšij* adj. 'pedestrian, on foot', Czech *pěší* adj. 'pedestrian, on foot', Slovak *peší* adj. 'pedestrian, on foot', Serbian/Croatian *pjèše*, *pjèškē* adv. 'on foot', Slovene *pệšji*, *pệški* 

adj. 'pedestrian, on foot', Bulgarian *peš*, *pešá* adv. 'on foot' < PSl. \**pěš*<sub>δ</sub> (Derksen 2008: 398).

Baltic: Lithuanian *pėdà* f. 'foot, footstep, footprint, sheaf; length measure equalling 12 inches', *pėdúoti* vb. 'to leave footmarks, walk slowly', Latvian *pęda* f., *pęds* m. 'footstep, footprint, trap; foot as a measure of length', *pęduôt* vb. 'to leave footmarks', Old Prussian *pedan* n. 'ploughshare' (Derksen 2015: 347, 353; Smoczyński 2018: 934–5).

Armenian: Old Armenian *otn* 'foot', pl. *otk* 'feet' (*n*-stem in singular, *i*-stem in plural), *het* (*o*-stem) 'foot; footstep, footprint, track', *heti* adv. 'on foot' (Martirosyan 2010: 405, 534–35).

Albanian: poshtë adv. 'down, below', prep. 'under' (Orel 1998: 340)

Tocharian: Tocharian A pe m. 'foot' (Carling and Pinault 2023: 293), pem m. du. 'two feet', Tocharian B paine m. du. 'two feet', painesa gen. du. 'of two feet' (Adams 2013: 432).

Anatolian: Hittite pat(a)- 'foot, leg; footing, base', Luwian  $p\bar{a}ta/i$ - 'foot', Hieroglypic Luwian pada/i- 'foot', Lycian pededi abl.-instr. 'by the feet' (Kloekhorst 2008: 653–54; Puhvel 2011: 196).

Hellenic: Greek (Attic & Ionic)  $\pi o \tilde{v} \varsigma$ , gen. sg.  $\pi o \delta \dot{o} \varsigma$  m. 'foot'; Doric  $\pi \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ , Laconian  $\pi \dot{o} \rho$  m. 'id.' (Beekes 2010: 1227); for the dual forms, see Mycenaean Greek ti-ri-po-de [tripode] m. du. 'two tripods' (Aura Jorro 1993: 352).

Italic: Latin *pēs*, *pedis* m. 'foot' (de Vaan 2008: 462); Umbrian *peři*, *persi* abl. sg. 'pede', *peřum* acc. sg. 'Erdboden, Boden; Stelle, Platz (am Boden)'; Oscan *pedú* acc. pl. 'foot (as a measure of length)' (Untermann 2000: 522–524).

Celtic: Proto-Celtic \*fódes m. 'foot' in Galatian ádes pl. 'feet', PC \*fissu- prep. 'under' (< PIE. \*pēdsú), PC \*fedon 'foot as a mea-

sure of area' in Lat. *candetum* (*cantedum*) n. 'measure of a field, used by the Gauls, which was one hundred feet' < Gaulish \**cantedon* '100 feet' (Matasović 2009: 131, 136).

Germanic: Gothic fotus n. m. 'foot', Old Norse fótr n. m. 'foot, leg', Faroese fótur n. m. 'foot', Elfdalian fuot n. m. 'foot, leg', Old English, Old Frisian fōt 'foot', Dutch voet 'foot', Old High German fuoz 'foot', German  $Fu\beta$  'foot' < Proto-Germanic \*fōt-(Kroonen 2013: 152).

The linguistic evidence presented in this stage seems to ensure that the words found in all branches of IE languages come from the same reconstructed Proto-Indo-European word. Ringe (2006: 47) provides a paradigm of the masculine noun \*póds foot' (presented in Table 1) from which later Polish pieszy and English foot developed.

**Table 1**Noun paradigm of PIE \*pods 'foot'

case	Singular	Dual	Plural
nominative	*pṓds	*pódeh <sub>1</sub> /	*pódes
		*pódeh₁u	
vocative	*pód	*pódeh1 /	*pódes
		*pódeh₁u	
accusative	*pódm	*pódeh <sub>1</sub> /	*pódņs
		*pódeh₁u	
instrumen-	*pedéh <sub>1</sub>	*pedbʰéh₁m	*pedb <sup>h</sup> í
tal			
dative	*pedéy	*pedb <sup>h</sup> éh₁m	*pedmós
ablative	*pedés	*pedbʰéh₁m	*pedmós
genitive	*pedés	*pedóus	*pedóHom
locative	*péd(i)	*pedóus	*pedsú

(Ringe 2006: 47 with modifications by the author, regarding the dual<sup>1</sup>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The dual inflection of the Proto-Indo-European noun for 'foot' is generally reconstructed on the basis of Vedic data, cf. nominative – vocative – accusative

## 4. Morphological connection

#### 4.1. Case and ablaut

Regarding Table 1, it is evident that the noun shows \*ō in the root-syllable in the nominative, vocative, and accusative, whereas \*e is present in the remaining cases. This change of vowels refers to ablaut, the alternation of different phonemes  $(*e, *e, \emptyset, *o, *o, *\bar{o}, *a, *a)$  within the same morpheme, which is morphologically conditioned (Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 94, Ringe 2006: 10). It seems that the cognates at issue could not evolve from the same case. It can be noticed in the vowels of OE fot and PSl. \*pěšb which point to different ablaut grades –  $\bar{o}$  and e, respectively. According to Kroonen (2013: 152), English foot developed from the singular nominative Proto-Indo-European form \*pods. According to Boryś (2005: 432), Polish pieszy developed from the plural locative dialectal form \*ped-sí-. On the other hand, Ringe (2006: 47) provides a different form, namely, \*pedsú. As it can be observed, the forms of Proto-Indo-European words may vary depending on the source since different linguists reconstruct them differently. In this article, the Ringe's reconstruction will be adopted.

# 4.2. Grammatical category

The comparanda under study also differs in the part of speech. Both words developed from the Proto-Indo-European masculine noun. However, while English *foot* is still a noun, Polish *pieszy* is a nominal adjective, that is, an adjective that is used as a noun. Other examples of this phenomenon can be illustrated with the following examples: *gluchy* 'deaf', *niewidomy* 'blind', *oskarżony* 'accused', *święty* 'saint', *poszukiwany* 'wanted', *obląka*-

du. (RV 1.24.8c; 2.39.5d; 6.29.3a; 6.47.15c; 10.73.3a; 10.90.11d; 10.106.9b, Malzahn 1999: 41), instrumental – dative – ablative du. (RV 10.90.12d+; Malzahn 1999: 64), genitive – locative du. (RV 10.116.2c, Malzahn 1999: 61).

ny 'insane' to name but a few. Townsend and Janda (1996: 177) suggest that Slavic adjectives emerged from nouns as a separate category. The change significant to the cognates at issue occurred by the "attachment of forms of the LCS pronominal 3<sup>rd</sup> sg demonstrative pronoun jь to the appropriate nominal forms of both direct (N, A) and oblique (G, D, I, L) cases" (Townsend and Janda 1996: 178). The examples provided in Table 2 illustrate this morphological operation.

**Table 2** Emergence of adjectives

Late Church Slavonic	Polish
поуъ + јъ	nowy 'new'
dobrъ + jь	dobry 'good'
starъ + jь	stary 'old'
glupь + jь	głupi 'stupid'
tanь + jь	tani 'cheap'

(Townsend and Janda 1996: 177–78, Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 98, Strutyński 2002: 42)

Hence, it seems that PS1. \*pěšь developed into P pieszy.

## 4.3. Inflectional class

Regarding noun inflection, the Proto-Indo-European word denoting 'foot' was classified as an athematic root-consonant stem. This categorization implied that it did not end in a thematic vowel; instead, its inflectional endings were attached directly to the root itself (Ringe 2006: 41, Algeo 2010: 95). Interestingly, while English appears to have retained the Proto-Indo-European form (Kroonen 2013: 152), the Polish word *pieszy* points towards the *jo*-stems (Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 184, 240, Strutyński 1998: 126–127). This shift in morphology is not an isolated occurrence and can be further observed in such pairs as English *mouse* and Polish *mysz* 'mouse', English *goose* and Polish *gęś* 'goose', as well as English *night* 

and Polish *noc* 'night' in which English words point to the root nouns and Polish to the *i*-stem. What is more, it seems that the transferal from one inflectional class to another is not limited to any particular stem (Rychło 2019: 74–80). Therefore, it may be reasonably assumed that the Polish word underwent a morphological transformation initially to the *i*-stems and subsequently to the *jo*-stems.

## 4.4. Dual number

In Proto-Indo-European, nominals, that is, nouns, pronouns, adjectives, determiners, and most quantifiers were inflected for number. It was differentiated into singular, dual, and plural, where the dual represented the concept of 'two' or 'a pair of' (Ringe 2006: 22). The foot, similarly to other parts of the body, occurs as a natural pair and thus it was often used in the dual form (Malzahn 1999: 64, 66). In Modern Polish, there are some remnants of the dual number, which was still present in Old Polish, which exhibit irregular plural influenced by the dual number (Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 222), see P oczy n. pl. 'eyes' (originally Proto-Slavic \*oči n. du. 'two eyes') vs. oka pl. 'drops of fat in the soup' and P uszy pl. 'ears' (originally Proto-Slavic \*uši n. du. 'two ears') vs. ucha pl. 'handles (of a cup)'.

Regarding English, the dual number was already on the verge of extinction in Proto-Germanic. However, traces of fossilized duals can be found in some nominal forms. Fritz (2011: 115) suggests that OE æt fōtum 'on both feet' indicates a possible indication of the dual number in the form of the *u*-stem. Additionally, the *u*-stem can be found in more Germanic words for 'foot', for example, Gothic fotus and Proto-Germanic \*fōtuz (Kroonen 2013: 152, Orel 2003: 110) suggesting that the dual form has left its remnants in the Germanic noun paradigms.

## 5. Phonological connection

# 5.1. The Germanic Line – sound changes that occurred from Proto-Indo-European to Modern English

## 5.1.1. Grimm's Law: \*p > \*f and \*d > \*t

Grimm's Law is one of the earliest and most characteristic Germanic sound changes which differentiates the Germanic branch from other Indo-European languages (Kroonen 2013: xxvii). According to Grimm's Law, the Indo-European voiceless plosives (\*p, \*t, \*k, \*k) underwent spiratization and became voiceless fricatives (\*f, \*f, \*f

(1) PIE \*plh1nós 'full' > PGmc \*fullaz > OE full
PIE \*pénkwe 'five' > PGmc \*fimf > OE fīf
PIE \*h1dónt- ~ \*h1dnt- 'tooth' > PGmc \*tanp- ~ \*tund- < OE tōp
PIE \*ád 'at' > PGmc \*at > OE æt
Therefore, it appears the PIE word \*pōds developed into the PGmc \*fōt- and OE fōt accordingly with Grimm's Law (\*p > \*f and \*t > \*d).

# 5.1.2. The Great Vowel Shift: [o:] > [u:]

The Great Vowel Shift is yet another salient phonological change that occurred in the development of English history. In early Modern English, the quality of all Middle English long vowels was altered. This change can be illustrated as presented in Table 3.

1400	1500	1600	Modern
			English
i:	ei	εi	aı
e:	i:	i:	i:
ε:	ε:	e:	i:
a:	a:	ε:	еі
u:	ou	ວບ	aυ
o:	u:	u:	u:
ວ:	o:	o:	əυ

**Table 3**The Great Vowel Shift

(Lass 1999: 72)

The high vowels [i:] and [u:] underwent diphthongization and were lowered to [ai] and [au]. The mid vowels [e:] and [ɛ:] merged into one high vowel [i:], [o:] was raised to [u:], and [o:] was diphthongized to [ou]. The low vowel [a:] was fronted, raised, and diphthongized to [ei] (Lass 1999: 11, 72, Algeo 144–147). Rychło (2019: 57) provides examples of words in which the change from [o:] to [u:] occurred: *food*, *loose*, *noon*, *tooth*, and *soon* to name but a few. Considering the above-mentioned shifts in vowels it seems that the long vowel [o:] in the OE word  $fot^2$  has changed its quality and become [u:] in the early Modern English period.

# 5.1.3. Laxing of [u:] > [v]

During the Late New English period, long [u:] was shortened to [v] if the vowel preceded the following consonants: a voiceless velar plosive [k], bilabial nasal [m], and alveolar plosives [t] and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Slightly diverging from the discussion at issue, it seems worthwhile to highlight the major changes of the root vowel during the evolution of the Proto-Germanic plural form \* $f\bar{o}tiz$  to Modern English feet /fi:t/. The front vowel \*i in the second syllable triggered i-umlaut, resulting in the fronting of the back vowel in the preceding syllable (\* $\bar{o}$  > \* $\bar{e}$ ) (Ringe and Taylor 2014: 222–227). Subsequently, as the result of the Great Vowel Shift, Middle English [e:] was raised and fronted to [i:] (Lass 1999: 11, 72). The changes could be illustrated in the following way: Proto-Germanic \* $f\bar{o}tiz$  'feet' > Old English  $f\bar{e}t$  > Early Modern English feet /fi:t/.

[d] (Welna 1978: 233). Welna provides examples of words in which the change occurred: book, cook, broom, room, good, hood, and soot. Therefore, it can be assumed that the change also affected the word foot.

# 5.2. The Slavic Line – sound changes that occurred from Proto-Indo-European to Modern Polish

#### 5.2.1. Winter's Law

According to Winter, Balto-Slavic short vowels underwent lengthening and became long acute vowels under the following conditions. If a short vowel was placed in an acute syllable, and it preceded a voiced, but not aspirated, plosive, the process occurred; otherwise, the vowel remained short. That is why Slavic and Baltic reflexes of PIE \*wēdh- 'lead' reveal a long vowel (Lithuanian vèsti), whereas other IE languages exhibit a short vowel (Sanskrit vådhūh, Irish fedim) (Collinge 1985: 225, Piwowarczyk 2022: 244). Thus, it seems that short \*e in PIE \*ped-su- was lengthened accordingly with Winter's Law, which resulted in long \*ě in Proto-Slavic.

## 5.2.2. Loss of plosives before fricatives

In the pre-Slavic era (around 1–5th century), clusters of a plosive (\*p, \*b, \*t, \*d, \*k, \*g) and a fricative (\*s, \*z, \*x) were subjects to another change. However, since there is a shortage of reliable examples which would include \*z and \*x, the change was reformulated to plosive and \*s. Firstly, due to obligatory regressive assimilation in voicing, voiced plosives were devoiced, and then voiceless plosives were dropped (Shevelov 1964: 188). This phenomenon is clearly visible when comparing words that come from the same Balto-Slavic lexical unit – \* $kan^2d$ -. Unlike Polish kes 'piece, bit, morsel', Slovene kos 'piece', Czech kus 'piece', or Slovac kus 'piece', Lithuanian kqsti 'to bite', kanda 'to bite, 3 pres.' retained traces of the Balto-Slavic \*d (Shevelov 1964:

188, Derksen 2008: 243, Derksen 2015: 231). Consequently, \*d in Balto-Slavic \*pēdsu was devoiced and lost under the influence of \*s resulting in \*pěsu.

## 5.2.3. Rise of jers and analogy

In the early 9th century, Slavic \*ŭ and \*i evolved into new vowels – a back jer \*ъ and a front jer \*ъ, respectively. These vowels were pronounced with less tension regarding lips and the tongue. The front jer palatalized preceding consonants and the back jer did not (Shevelov 1964: 433–434, Strutyński 2002: 36). Therefore, \*pěsu developed into \*pěsъ. It might be suggested that later this form was replaced by \*pěxъ, which was created by analogy to many other locative plurals ending in -ěxъ (< PIE \*-oisu), \*-ъxъ (< PIE \*-isu), \*-ъxъ (< PIE \*-usu)³ (Lehr-Spławiński and Bartula 1959: 46). The form \*pěxъ, with the analogical ending \*-xъ, has been preserved in many Polish derivatives, such as piechota 'infantry', piechotnik 'the one who walks on foot, infantryman', piechur 'infantryman' or vernacular piechta, piechty 'on foot'.

## 5.2.4. Iotation and loss of jers

In the Proto-Slavic language, two distinct types of palatalization occurred – the palatalization of velars due to the influence of front vowels, and the palatalization triggered by \*j, called iotation. The latter is essential to the study since, among many others, the cluster of \*xj underwent palatalization to  $*\check{s}$  (Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 141). Firstly, the palatal articulation of \*j influenced the pronunciation of the preceding consonants,<sup>4</sup> in this case \*x, and later, the approximant was lost (Shevelov 1964: 207), as in, for example,  $*syxj\wp$  'I carry'  $> sly\check{s}\wp$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The change from Proto-Indo-European \*s to Proto-Slavic \*x could be explained by the Ruki rule. As implied by the name, it occurred when \*x was preceded by \*r, \*u, \*k, and \*i (cf. P mech < PSI. \*mbxb < PIE \*m'us-o-m) (Rychło 2019: 72–73).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Consonants which underwent the change comprise: \*p,\* b, \*m, \*v,\*n, \*r, \*l, \*t, \*d, \*s, \*z, \*k, \*g, \*x (Strutyński 1998: 65–66).

(Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 141). Similarly, it appears that \*pexjo<sup>5</sup> evolved into \*pešo, and later, into \*pešo.

As mentioned before in the section connected to morphology, in Late Church Slavonic, the suffix \*-jb was attached to the form \*pěšb resulting in \*pěšbjb (Townsend and Janda 1996: 178). However, already in the second half of the 10th century, the jers were dropped (Shevelov 1964: 634). The final cluster \*-bjb was changed into -i, for instance, \*tanbjb developed into tani 'cheap' (Dlugosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 98). Consequently, it appears that \*pěšbjb evolved into \*pěši.

## 5.2.5. Palatalization of [p]

The process of palatalization affected consonants which were followed by any Proto-Slavic front vowels. Under the influence of front vowels, consonants acquired additional pronunciation, that is, the tongue was raised to the hard palate. Moreover, when labial consonants are palatalized, they are articulated in two different ways – labial and palatal. When these articulations are completed simultaneously, they result in synchronous palatalization. However, if the tongue movement is delayed in comparison with the lip movement, the palatalization is called asynchronous, and it involves epenthesis - an addition of a sound, in this case [j]. This change is evident in the following examples: PSl. \*pьпь > P pień 'trunk', PSl. \*vьsь > P wieś 'village', PSl. \*pestb > P pięść 'fist' (Długosz-Kurczabowa and Dubisz 2006: 139, 144-148, Rychło 2012: 29). Hence, it seems reasonable to assume that [p] before the front vowel [e] was affected by an asynchronous palatalization and became \*pieši.

# 5.2.6. Hardening of historically soft consonants

In the 15th and 16th centuries, originally palatal consonants inherited from Proto-Slavic were hardened due to an excess of

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  The emergence of \*jo is strictly connected to morphology; as noted earlier, it seems that \* $p\check{e}sb$  underwent a morphological shift from a root-consonant stem to the jo-stem.

```
(3) PS1. *šija > P szyja 'neck'
PS1. *žito > P żyto 'rye'
PS1. *vl'ci > P wilcy 'wolves'
```

Consequently, Slavic \* $\check{s}$  developed into Polish [ʃ], and hence the change from -i to -y also occurred.

## 6. Semantic connection

It is clear that the English word *foot* retains the original meaning of PIE \*pods. However, the meaning of Polish *pieszy* is slightly different. This difference in meaning may be the result of the fact that they have derived from different cases of the same lexical unit – *foot* from nominative singular and *pieszy* from locative plural. Boryś (2005: 432) already translates PIE \*ped-si- as 'on foot', which already closely resembles the modern meaning of 'the one that walks on foot.'

#### 7. Conclusions

The Polish word pieszy derived from the Proto-Indo-European locative plural noun \*ped- $s\acute{u}$ , which reflects e-grade. According to Winter's Law, the vowel \*e was lengthened. Subsequently, the sound \*d was lost under the influence of the following fricative \*s. The rise of jers resulted in the change of the vowel \*u to the back jer. Subsequently, \* $p\check{e}sb$  was replaced by \* $p\check{e}xb$ , which emerged through analogy to other locative plurals. The morphological transferal to the jo-stems followed. Under the influence of \*j, \*x was palatalized to \*s. The pronoun \*-jb was attached to

the Proto-Slavic \* $p\check{e}\check{s}b$ . Thereafter, the jer was lost, and the palatalization of [p] before a front vowel occurred. Lastly, the originally soft consonant \* $\check{s}$  was depalatalized, which led to the change from -y to -i.

Regarding the English word, it developed from the Proto-Indo-European nominative singular noun \* $p\bar{o}ds$  'foot' whose root vowel exhibited  $\bar{o}$ -grade. The change of \*p > \*f and \*d > \*t can be explained by Grimm's Law which, among other changes, includes the transition of voiceless plosives into voiceless fricatives and voiced plosives into voiceless plosives. The vowel was firstly altered from [o:] > [u:] during the Great Vowel Shift, and later, laxed from [u:] > [v].

Based on the changes described in this article, it seems evident that Polish *pieszy* and English *foot* originate from the same Proto-Indo-European word and are therefore cognates. Although the words differ regarding morphological and phonological form as well as semantics, the changes that affected them are shown proving their relation. Table 4 presents and summarises the development of the pair of cognates at issue.

**Table 4**Summary

The Germanic line		The Slavic line	
(from PIE to Modern English)		(from PIE to Modern	
		Polish)	
PIE *pods > E foot /fut/		PIE *ped-sú- >	
		P pieszy	
PIE *pods	$\bar{o}$ -grade (only in the	PIE dial.	<i>e</i> -grade
	nominative singular)	*ped-sú	(locative
			plural)
PIE *pods,	Root noun with the	*pēdsu	Winter's
acc.*pódṃ,	static and apophonic		Law
gen.*pedés	inflection		

*pods,	Generalization of the	*pēsu	Loss of *d in
* '.		pesu	
pl. *podes,	long apophonic grade		the cluster
gen. sg.	in the paradigm		of a plosive
*pōdés etc.	(in some North-West		and fricative
	Indo-European		
	languages)		
PGmc *fōt-	Grimm's Law (* $p > *f$ ,	PS1.	Rise of jers
	*d > *t	*pěsъ	
PGmc dial.	Emergence of the	PS1.	Analogy to
*fōtuz	secondary <i>u</i> -stem in	*рёхъ	locative plu-
	some Germanic		rals ending
	languages (cf. Goth.		in - <i>ĕхъ</i> (<
	fotus, OE fōtum)pre-		PIE *-oisu),
	sumably based on cer-		*-ьхъ (< PIE
	tain declensional		*-isu),
	forms of the dual num-		*- <i>ъхъ</i> (< PIE
	ber		*-usu)
OE fōt		PS1.	Morphologi-
foot /fo:t/		*pěšь	cal shift to
			<i>jo</i> -stems
			Iotation
foot /fu:t/	Great Vowel Shift	PS1.	Addition of a
		*рěšьјь	pronoun *- <i>j</i> ь
E foot /fut/	Laxing of [u:] > [v]	*pěši	Loss of jers
		OP	Palataliza-
		*pieši	tion of [p]
		P pieszy	Hardening
			of histori-
			cally soft
			consonants
			Retraction
			and lower-
			ing of - <i>i</i> to
			- <i>y</i>
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

## References

- Abaev, Vassiliy I. (1958). *Istoriko-ètimologičeskiy slovar' osetinskogo yazyka*. Vol. I. Leningrad: Izdatel'stvo "Nauka".
- Adams, Douglas Q. (2013). *A Dictionary of Tocharian B*. Amsterdam New York: Rodopi.
- Algeo, John 2010. The Origin and Development of the English Language. Sixth revised edition. Boston: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.
- Aura Jorro, Francisco (1993). *Diccionario Micénico*. Vol. 2. Madrid: Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.
- Bailey, Harold Walter (1979). *Dictionary of Khotan Saka*. Cambridge London New York Melbourne: Cambridge University Press.
- Beekes, Robert (2010). *Etymological Dictionary of Greek*. Vol. II. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Boryś, Wiesław (2005). *Słownik etymologiczny języka polskiego*. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Literackie.
- Carling, Gerd, Georges-Jean Pinault (2023). *Dictionary and Thesaurus of Tocharian A.* Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz Verlag.
- Collinge, Neville Edgar (1985). *The Laws of Indo-European*. Amsterdam Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.
- Derksen, Rick (2008). Etymological Dictionary of the Slavic Inherited Lexicon. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Derksen, Rick (2015). Etymological Dictionary of the Baltic Inherited Lexicon. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Długosz-Kurczabowa, Krystyna, Stanisław Dubisz (2006). *Gramatyka historyczna języka polskiego*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwa Uniwersytetu Warszawskiego.
- Fritz, Matthias (2011). *Der Dual im Indogermanischen*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag Winter.
- Kloekhorst, Alwin (2008). *Etymological Dictionary of the Hittite Inherited Lexicon*. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Kroonen, Guus (2013). *Etymological Dictionary of Proto-Germanic*. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Lass, Roger (1999) "Phonology and morphology". In: Roger Lass (ed.). *The Cambridge History of the English Language*. Vol. 3: 1476–1776. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 56–186.
- Lehr-Spławiński, Tadeusz, Czesław Bartula (1959). Zarys gramatyki języka staro-cerkiewno-słowiańskiego na tle porównawczym.

- 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Wrocław Kraków: Zakład Narodowy im. Ossolińskich Wydawnictwo.
- Malzahn, Melanie (1999). *Der nominale Dual in Rgveda*. Diplomarbeit zur Erlangung des Magistergrades der Philosophie an der Geisteswissenschaftlichen Fakultät der Universität Wien. Wien: Universität Wien.
- Martirosyan, Hrach K. (2010). *Etymological Dictionary of the Armenian Inherited Lexicon*. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Matasović, Ranko (2009). Etymological Dictionary of Proto-Celtic. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Mayrhofer, Manfred (1963). Kurzgefaßtes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen / A Concise Etymological Sanskrit Dictionary. Vol. 2. Heidelberg: Carl Winter Universitätsverlag. [= KEWAi II]
- Mayrhofer, Manfred (1996). Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. Vol. 2. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag C. Winter. [= EWA II]
- Morgenstierne, Georg (1974). Etymological Vocabulary of the Shughni Group. Wiesbaden: Dr. Ludwig Reichert Verlag.
- Onions, Charles Talbut, George Washington Salisbury Friedrichsen, Robert William Burchfield (1966). *The Oxford Dictionary of English Etymology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Orel, Vladimir (1998). *Albanian Etymological Dictionary*. Leiden Boston Köln: Brill.
- Orel, Vladimir (2003). A Handbook of Germanic Etymology. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Oxford English Dictionary, foot n. Oxford University Press. Available at <www.oed.com/dictionary/foot\_n?tab=etymology#3967360>. Accessed 12.12.2023.
- Piwowarczyk, Dariusz R. (2022). *Rekonstrukcja praindoeuropejskiego system fonologicznego*. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Jagiellońskiego.
- Puhvel, Jaan (2011). *Hittite Etymological Dictionary*. Vol. 8. Berlin New York: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Ringe, Don (2006). From Proto-Indo-European to Proto-Germanic. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ringe, Don, Ann Taylor (2014). *A Linguistic History of English*. Vol. 2: *The Development of Old English*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Rospond, Stanisław (1979). *Gramatyka historyczna języka polskiego*. Warszawa: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.

- Rychło, Mikołaj (2012). "An etymological comparison of English *fist* and Polish *pięść*: How they both descended from the PIE root for 'five'". Linguistica Silesiana 33: 19–38.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2013). "English *herd* and Polish *trzoda*: How the two words developed from one Proto-Indo-European root". *Acta Neophilologica* 15/1: 155–166.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2014a). "Przejście pie. \*p w pgerm. \*f utrwalone w polsko-angielskich wyrazach pokrewnych: praformy różniące się budową słowotwórczą oraz późniejsze zmiany modyfikujące skutki prawa Grimma". *Język Polski* 94/5: 452–462.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2014b). "Ślady prawa Grimma w angielszczyźnie w zestawieniu z polskimi wyrazami pokrewnymi: pie. \*p > pgerm. \*f". *Język Polski* 94/3: 200–211.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2016). "Can weep lure? An analysis of a controversial Slavico-Germanic pair of cognates". *Beyond Philology* 13: 103–124.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2017). "Kontrowersyjne ślady zmiany pie. \*p > pgerm. \*f utrwalone w polsko-angielskich wyrazach pokrewnych: zestawienia sporne i wczesne zapożyczenia". *Język Polski* 97/3: 114–128.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2018). "Polish *reż* and English *rye* concealed in Polish *rżysko*: A case study of a pair of cognates and a root archaism". *Linguistica Silesiana* 39: 119–133.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2019). Contrasting Cognates in Modern Languages from a Diachronic Perspective. Gdańsk: Gdańsk University Press.
- Rychło, Mikołaj (2021). "Slavic and Germanic reflexes of Proto-Indo European root \*h<sub>2</sub>ueh<sub>1</sub>- 'wind': A comparative study". Lege Artis: Language yesterday, today, tomorrow 6/1: 190–232.
- Rychło, Mikołaj, Krzysztof Tomasz Witczak (2021). "Proto-Indo-European \*moghtis f. 'might, power, strength". *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 49/1–2: 171–86.
- Shevelov, George Y. (1964). A Prehistory of Slavic: The Historical Phonology of Common Slavic. Heidelberg: Carl Winter, Universitätsverlag.
- Smoczyński, Wojciech (2018). *Lithuanian Etymological Dictionary*. Berlin: Peter Lang.
- Strutyński, Janusz (1998). *Elementy gramatyki historycznej języka polskiego*. Kraków: Wydawnictwo Tomasz Strutyński.
- Townsend, Charles Edward, Laura A. Janda (1996). Common and Comparative Slavic: Phonology and Inflection: With Special Attention to Russian, Polish, Czech, Serbo-Croatian, Bulgarian. Columbus, Ohio: Slavica Publishers, Inc.

- Turner, Ralph Lilley (1966). A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages. London: Oxford University Press.
- Untermann, Jürgen (2000). Wörterbuch des Oskisch-Umbrischen. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag C. Winter.
- Urbańczyk, Stanisław (ed.) (1988-1993). *Słownik staropolski*. Vol. 10. Kraków: IJP PAN.
- de Vaan, Michiel (2008). Etymological Dictionary of Latin and other Italic Languages. Leiden Boston: Brill.
- Watkins, Calwert (ed.) (2011). *The American Heritage Dictionary of Indo-European Roots*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Wełna, Jerzy (1978). *A Diachronic Grammar of English.* Part One: *Phonology*. Warszawa: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe.

Anna Potrykus Instytut Anglistyki i Amerykanistyki Wydział Filologiczny Uniwersytet Gdański ul. Wita Stwosza 51 80-308 Gdańsk Poland a.potrykus.748@studms.ug.edu.pl